



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,782	12/29/2003	Bruce G. Cortez	ATT 2003-0040	8712
26652	7590	01/22/2008		
AT&T CORP. ROOM 2A207 ONE AT&T WAY BEDMINSTER, NJ 07921			EXAMINER JUNTIMA, NITTAYÄ	
			ART UNIT 2616	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 01/22/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/748,782	Applicant(s) CORTEZ ET AL.	
	Examiner Nittaya Juntima	Art Unit 2616	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 December 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 December 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - in claim 8, line 3, "event" should be inserted after "network."Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 6, logically, it is unclear how can steps b) and c) which depend from step a) as recited in claim 1 (i.e., network event in step b) refers to the network event in step a)) can be performed prior to step a) as claimed. Changing the order of the steps therefore renders the claim vague and indefinite.

If the applicant intends to claim the situation in which the identities of affected circuits are pre-calculated (see paragraph 0057 of the specification), it is suggested that claim 1 and 6 be rewritten as follows:

- Claim 1: A method..., said method comprising the steps of:
- a) identifying a plurality of circuit to be affected by a network event;

b) grouping affected circuits in accordance with one or more end-switches to which a plurality of signaling messages have to be sent; and

c) bundling said plurality of signaling messages.

Claim 6: The method of claim 1, after step b) and before step c), further comprising the step of:

b.1) receiving notification of said network event; and

wherein results of performing said steps a) and b) are stored for a plurality of network events.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1-5 and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Doshi (US 2004/0008619 A1).

Regarding claim 1, as shown in Fig. 2, Doshi teaches a method of reducing signaling load in a communication network (network 100 in Fig. 1) having a plurality of switches (A-D, paragraph 004), said method comprising the steps of:

a) Receiving notification of a network event (step 202, node A receives connection requests, which are tear-down requests for connections in node B associated with the path A-B-C, constituting receiving notification of a network event, paragraph 0027).

b) Identifying a plurality of circuits affected by said network event (node A must identifies the connections to be torn down related to node B as stated in the received requests, paragraphs 0027-0028).

c) Grouping affected circuits in accordance with one end-switch (node B) to which a plurality of signaling messages have to be sent (in node A must group the connections on link 1 connecting node A to node B to which the connections requests/tear-down requests have to be sent, paragraphs 0027-0028 and Fig. 1).

d) Bundling said plurality of signaling messages (in step 204, node A bundles the connections requests/tear-down requests to be sent to node B into a single message, paragraph 0028).

Regarding claim 2, Doshi also teaches e) forwarding said bundled signaling messages to one of said plurality of switches (in step 206, the bundled connections requests/tear-down requests in a single message are forwarded to node B, paragraph 0028).

Regarding claim 3, Doshi also teaches that wherein said forwarding step e) forwards said bundled signaling messages in at least one signaling packet (paragraph 0028).

Regarding claim 4, Doshi also teaches that wherein said forwarding step e) forwards said bundled signaling messages for circuits with a common end switch (node B, Fig. 1). See paragraph 0028.

Regarding claim 5, Doshi teaches that wherein said signaling messages are release message (tear-down requests, paragraph 0027).

Regarding claim 7, Doshi also teaches that wherein said forwarding step e) forwards said bundled signaling messages for circuits with a common end switch (node B) along a common path (link 1, Fig. 1), see paragraphs 0027-0028.

Claims 8, 9, and 10 are apparatus claims corresponding to method claims 1, 2, and 4, respectively, and are therefore rejected under the same reason set forth in the rejection of claims 1, 2, and 4, respectively with an addition of controller (inherent processor at node A for controlling and performing the method steps set forth in claims 1, 2, and 4, respectively).

Claim 11 is a computer-readable medium corresponding to method claim 1 and is therefore rejected under the same reason set forth in the rejection of claim 1 (see also paragraph 0055).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Application/Control Number:
10/748,782
Art Unit: 2616

Page 6

examiner should be directed to Nittaya Juntima whose telephone number is 571-272-3120. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00 A.M - 5:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Nittaya Juntima
1/16/2008

NJ



HUY D. VU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600